PROJECT INFORMATION SHEET PIP No :

(To be allocated by MOP)

PART A : BASIC PROJECT INFORMATION

(Must be completed in all cases)

1. PROJECT NAME: Run Ta Ek Eco-village Development Project						
2. PROJECT DATES:						
PROJECT START:	1/1/2018					
ESTIMATED COMPLETION:	12/31/2020					
3. TOTAL PROJECT COST:	\$772,200					
4. RESPONSIBLE MINISTRY:	Ministry of Culture & Fine Arts					
RESPONSIBLE UNIT: អង្កភាពទទ្ទលខុសត្រ្ទវ៍:	Apsara Authority អាជា្លធរជាតិអប្សរា					
5. PROJECT STATUS:	Deleted					

DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

- 6. TYPE OF PROJECT: Investment project
- 7. SOURCE OF PROJECT FUNDING: RGC
- 8. THE POLICY AREA OF THE PENTAGON STRATEGY PHASE I THAT THIS PROJECT FALLS UNDER:V

Side 3. Strengthening of Public-Private Partnerships

9. THE CONTRIBUTION OF THE PROJECT TO ACHIEVE THE ABOVE POLICY:

The project will promote people's living standards through organic farming, animal husbandry and agro-tourism.

10. SUPPORT TO CAMBODIA INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPEMENT POLICY:

Does this Project support to the implementation of the Cambodia Industrial Development Policy? No

- 11. SECTOR:
- 12. PROJECT LOCATION: (Describe the location of the project and its components.)

Siem Reap,

13. PROJECT OBJECTIVE: (Describe the major purpose of the project.)

Considering the importance of conservation for the development of the Angkor site in accordance with the principles of the Sustainable Heritage Committee, and to reduce the construction of new houses, the extraction of deforestation, environmental and social impacts. Due to the growth of existing family and the movement of tourism in the Angkor park area.

14. PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Provide a description of the project and all its components.)

- Livestock breeding of chicken, cows, goats
- Mix Planting, planting quality wood
- Growing economic vegetation (morom, beans, and crops that meet market demand)
- Conservation of Trapaing (Traditional Trapeang lo berk)
- Training agricultural skills to the people
- To build infrastructure (build new 100 houses, prepare 100 plot of agricultural land, sport pavilions, renovate

village roads, build irrigation system, organize other logos in villages, renovate public order offices, build irrigation system).

15. PROJECT JUSTIFICATION: (Give reasons why this particular project is considered worthwhile.)

Considering the importance of conservation for the development of the Angkor park in accordance with the principles of the Sustainable Heritage Committee, and to reduce the construction of new houses, the extraction of deforestation, environmental and social impacts. Due to the growth of the local existing people and the movement of tourism in the Angkor park area. The Royal Government of Cambodia settles 1012 hectares of land in the east of Rumet Ek commune, Banteay Srei district, Siem Reap province, creating a natural village Run Ta EK, which allows new families to live voluntarily without any coercion.

16. BENIFITS: (Who will benefit, directly and indirectly, from the project?)

If it has above-mentioned projects will help the economy of the people in the natural village directly and also contribute to the conservation of sustainable Heritage.

17. FEASIBILITY STUDY

Is a Feasibility Study for the project required? No

If YES, has it been carried out? Not yet

18. SOCIAL & ENVIRONMENT IMPACT: (Briefly describe the effects of the project, if any, on the people and the surrounding environment. Will the project assist in alleviating poverty?)

There is no environmental and social impact, and this project will help to reduce the poverty of the people.

19. CLIMATE CHANGE

a. Is any activity or output of the project related to Climate Change? No

b. How is the project relevant to Climate Change?

Please select a Climate Change related sector of the project and fill up the contribution of the climate change related expenditure compared to the total project cost.

Climate Change-Related Sector Percentage Climate Change Relevance

20. DISASTER RISK REDUCTION

Is any activity or output of the project related to Disaster Risk Reduction? No

21. GENDER ANALYSIS: (How does the project affect the roles of the men and women in the project area? Will women be actively involved in the implementation of the project?)

This project does not affect the role of men and women in the project site. Women are focal points in their engagement.

22. CAPACITY TO IMPLEMENT: (Does the Ministry have the skills and experience required to implement the project?)

Apsara Authority has sufficient expertise and experience to implement this project.

23. STATUS OF PROJECT IMPLEMENTION: (Provide a brief update on the progress of the project to date. Discuss any major problems causing delays in project implementation.)

In case of catastrophic, lack of finance, which cause of the project to be slow.

24. PROJECT PRIORITY: (Please indicates the priority ranking of the project decided by the ministry/agency.)

17

25. DONOR INVOLVEMENT: (Provide any information on current or potential donor involvement in the project.)

Forword company, SPLE Morom enterprise

PART B : PROJECT COSTS AND FUNDING SOURCES (In US\$'000)

INVESTMENT COST	2018		2019	2020	2021	2022	3yr Total	Recurrent
	Budget	Actual	Budget	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	2020-2022	Cost Est.
Operational Expenditure	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Salaries	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Materials + Admin	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Capital Expenditure	159.0	0.0	300.0	313.2	0.0	0.0	313.2	0.0
Construction	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Consultancy (i.e. TA) + Admin	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Equipment+ Furniture	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Training	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other	159.0	0.0	300.0	313.2	0.0	0.0	313.2	0.0
TOTAL COST	159.0	0.0	300.0	313.2	0.0	0.0	313.2	0.0
FUNDING SOURCES	201 Budget	Actual	2019 Budget	2020 Estimate	2021 Estimate	2022 Estimate	3yr Total 2020-2022	
Project Revenue	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Government Funding	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Cash Input	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Other Resources	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Donor Funding								
TOTAL COMMITTED FUNDING	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
FUNDING REQUIRED	159.0	0.0	300.0	313.2	0.0	0.0	313.2	
(Total Cost - Funding Available)								

Seen and Approved by Minister							
(Signature)							
Date :							